William C. Rand, Esq. (WR-7685) LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM COUDERT RAND (Phone) (212) 286-1425; (Fax)(212) 599-7909 Jeffrey M. Gottlicb, Esq. (JG-7905) Berger & Gottlieb 150 East 18th Street, Suite PHR New York, New York 10017 New York, New York 10003 711 Third Ave., Suite 1505 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Tel: (212) 228-9795 Co-counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ASHADE

1000

Plaintiffs, ANDREW SHELTON, Individually, All Other Persons Similarly Situated, and on Behalf of

-against-

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMPLAINT

Demand for Jury Trial

BRIAN HEGARTY, and JOHN DOES # 1-10, ALCON BUILDERS GROUP INC. Jointly and Severally

Defendants.

Plaintiff, through his attorneys, complaining of Defendants, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, and (ii) former employees of the Defendants who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b), that they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendants for work performed for which they received no compensation at all as well as for Plaintiff alleges, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et seq. _;

premium pay, as required by New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq., including Part 142, § 142-2.2 promulgated by the Commissioner of Labor pursuant to the Minimum Wage Act (Article 19 of current and former employees of the Defendants, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, that they are ("Overtime Rate") of Title 12 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations Plaintiff further complains on behalf of himself and other similarly situated entitled to back wages from Defendants for worked performed for which they received no compensation at all as well as for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime the New York State Labor Law) Si

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, this Court has jurisdiction This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). ä
- Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the Defendants reside in this district and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.
- This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff resides in New York County, New York.
- Upon information and belief, defendant ALCON BUILDERS GROUP INC. (the "Corporate Defendant") is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York and conducting business at 527 West 58th Street, New York, N.Y. 10019. ۲.

- 8. Upon information and belief, BRIAN HEGARTY was an officer, director and/or managing agent of the CORPORATE DEFENDANT, who participated in the day-to-day operations of the CORPORATE DEFENDANT and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an "employer" pursuant to the FLSA, 20 U.S.C. §203(d) and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, as well as the New York Labor Law Sec. 2 and the regulations thereunder and is jointly and severally liable with the CORPORATE DEFENDANT.
- 9. Upon information and belief, John Does #1-10 represent the officers, directors and/or managing agents of the CORPORATE DEFENDANT, whose identities are unknown at this time and who participated in the day-to-day operations of the CORPORATE DEFENDANT and acted intentionally and maliciously and are "employers" pursuant to the FLSA, 20 U.S.C. §203(d) and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, as well as the New York Labor Law Sec. 2 and the regulations thereunder and are jointly and severally liable with the CORPORATE DEFENDANT.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Plaintiff seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of all persons who are or were formerly employed by Defendants at any time since January 26, 2004 to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA and who were not paid for hours that they worked and did receive compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").
- 11. This collective action class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which

3

Period, most of whom would not be likely to file individual suits because they lack adequate information and belief, there are over 40 members of the Class during the Collective Action the calculation of that number are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon financial resources, access to attorneys or knowledge of their elaims.

- Members and has retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the fields of employment Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interest that is contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action. 12.
- A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it virtually impossible for members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, no difficulty in the management of this action as a collective action. 13.
- acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the common questions of law and predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have Questions of law and fact common to the members of the collective action fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:
- whether the Defendants employed the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FSLA; (a)
- what proof of hours worked is sufficient where the employer fails in its duty to maintain records; **@**

- whether Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members for hours which they worked and received no compensation as well as overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek, in violation of the FSLA and the regulations promulgated thereunder; <u>ට</u>
- whether Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA; **g**
- whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees; and (e)
- whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the FLSA in the future. \oplus
- Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action. 15.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rules 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 16.
- employed by Defendants at any time since January 26, 2001, to the entry of judgment in this case Plaintiff brings his New York Labor Law claim on behalf of all persons who were (the "Class Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the New York Labor Law and have not been paid for hours actually worked as well as overtime wages in violation of the New York Labor Law (the "Class"). 17.
- members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the The persons in the Class identified above are so numerous that joinder of all 18

facts on which the calculation of that number are based, are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are approximately 40 members of the Class during the Class Period.

- The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class, and a class action is particularly in the context of the wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversyfinancial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate defendants
- Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the respect to the Class as a whole.
- Plaintiff is committed to pursuing this action and has retained competent counsel experienced in employment law and class action litigation.
- Plaintiff has the same interests in this matter as all other members of the Class, and Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class. 22.
- There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions solely affecting the individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:
- (a) whether Defendants employed the members of the Class within the meaning of the New York Labor Law;
- (b) what proof of hours worked is sufficient where the employer fails in its duty to maintain records;
- compensation for hours actually worked as well as overtime compensation for hours whether Defendants failed/and or refused to pay the members of the Class any . છ

- worked in excess of forty hours per workweek and/or more than ten hours per day within the meaning of the New York Labor Law;
- (d) whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees; and
- (e) whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the New York Labor Law in the future

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- Plaintiff was a construction worker employed by Defendants from on or about July 2, 2006 until January 16, 2007 (the "time period"). 24.
- Defendant's policy during the time period was not to pay its construction worker employees time and one half their hourly wage for hours worked over 40 in a workweek 25.
- Plaintiff during most weeks during the time period worked more than 40 hours per week and/or more than ten hours per day. 26.
- Plaintiff's work was performed in the normal course of the Defendants' business and was integrated into the business of Defendants. 27.
- The work performed by Plaintiff required little skill and no capital investment. 28.
- Plaintiff's duties did not include managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment. 29.
- Plaintiff often worked in excess of 40 hours a week and/or ten hours per day, yet him as well as overtime compensation of one and one-half times his regular hourly rate, and for the Defendant willfully failed to pay Plaintiff any compensation for all of the hours worked by

an extra hour in each day worked over ten hours, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

- did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment. They do positions that required little skill and no capital investment and their duties and responsibilities not have the authority to hire or fire other employees, and they are not responsible for making Throughout the time period and, upon information belief, both before the time employed other individuals, like the Plaintiff (the Collective Action Members/the Class) in period (throughout the Class Period) and continuing until today, Defendants have likewise hiring and firing recommendations.
- day, yet the Defendants has likewise willfully failed to pay them any compensation for all of the hours worked by them as well as overtime compensation of one and one-half times their regular Such individuals have worked in excess of 40 hours a week and/or ten hours per hourly rate, and for an extra hour in each day worked over ten hours, in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law. 32.
- As stated, the exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but within the sole knowledge of the Defendant and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery 33.
- Upon information and belief, throughout all relevant time periods, and during the Collective Action Period, the Defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records. course of Plaintiff's own employment, the course of the Class Period and the course of the 34.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein. 35.

- At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a). 36.
- At all relevant times, Defendants employed and/or continue to employ Plaintiff and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA 37.
- Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000. 38
- S Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b). Plaintiff's written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference. 39.
- At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay for all hours worked as well as overtime compensation to its employees for their hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- including Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, for all hours worked by them as well as performed in excess of forty hours in a workweek, Defendants have violated and continue to for overtime at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work violate, the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a). As a result of the Defendants' willful failure to compensate its employees,
- Defendants have failed to make, keep and preserve records with respect to each of its employees employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, upon information and belief As a result of Defendants' failure to record, report, credit, and/or compensate its violation of the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a). sufficient to determine the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment in 42.

- The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA § 255(a). within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 43.
- unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff and the Collective Action members are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid wages, their unpaid overtime compensation, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, additional liquidated damages for of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). 4

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein. 45.
- At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the members of the Class were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 46.
- the Class, by failing to pay them any compensation for all of the hours worked by them as well as each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, and an additional hour of pay for each hour worked in excess of ten hours in one day, in violation of the New York Labor Law and its Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights and the rights of the members of overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for regulations including the "spread of hours" regulation, 12 NYCRR § 142-2.18 47.
- Defendants' New York Labor Law violations have caused Plaintiff and the members of the Class, irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law. 48.
- compensation, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees, Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid wages, unpaid overtime 49.

and costs and disbursements of the action and liquidated damages, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 663(1)

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated Collective and Class Action members, respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

- Certification of this action as a class action pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (3) on behalf of the members of the Class and appointing Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel to represent the Class; (a)
- situated members of an FSLA Opt-In-Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and appointing Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual counsel to represent the Collective Action Members. **(P**)
- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns An injunction against the Defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them, set forth herein; **F**
- An award of unpaid wages and unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law; <u>e</u>

- An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay wages and overtime compensation and illegal termination of Plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 and New York Labor Law § 663(1); \mathfrak{S}
- (g) An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest;
- An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and (F)
- Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. \odot

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint.

Dated: New York, New York January 26, 2007 LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM COUDERT RAND

S/William C. Rand

William Coudert Rand, Esq. (WR-7685) Attorney for Plaintiff, Individually,

Attorney for Plaintiff, Individually, and on Behalf of All Other Persons Similarly Situated

711 Third Avenue, Suite 1505 New York, New York 10017 Tel: (212) 286-1425 Co-counsel
Berger & Gottlieb
Jeffrey M. Gottlieb, Esq. (JG-7905)
150 East 18th Street, Suite PHR
New York, New York 10003
Tel: (212) 228-9795

CONSENT TO BECOME PARTY PLAINTIFF

this litigation, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiffs' counsel concerning attorneys' decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting to pay me overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize representative plaintiffs and designate them class representatives as my agents to make By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my the filing of this consent in the action(s) challenging such conduct I authorize the fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit. name and on my behalf to contest the failure of

Signature Date Print Name